



"The stock market is like a roller coaster, except I never throw up my hands and go wheeeeeeeeeeee!"

Winds of Change -Article by Mark Lazar

There is no risk-free path for monetary policy. Jerome Powell

YTD Change
<u>-3.32%</u>
<u>-5.26%</u>
<u>-4.86%</u>
<u>-1.93%</u>
<u>-2.15%</u>
<u>2.43%</u>
<u>4.0%</u>

*Market index data as of 1/31/2021

FEBRUARY 2022 INSIDE THIS NEWSLETTER * FEBRUARY COMMENTARY: WINDS OF CHANGE * WASATCH TEAM UPDATE

Baby Boomers, like myself, painfully recall the 70s and early 80s, when many of us purchased our first

home, financed a car, and possibly carried credit card balances (guilty) at interest rates that would make a loan shark blush. Due to imprudent monetary and fiscal policies, which began during Nixon and were exacerbated by the Carter Administration, the <u>federal funds</u> rate peaked in 1981 at <u>22.36%</u>, as did mortgage rates at <u>18.53%</u>. To put this into perspective, the fed funds rate today is <u>.08%</u> and the 30-year mortgage rate is <u>3.55%</u>.

With rare exception (e.g., <u>supply shocks</u>), inflation is simply the product of too much money chasing too few goods. Logically, the solution would be to either boost <u>output</u> via <u>supply-side policies</u> that increase production, or reduce the <u>money supply</u>. But Fed policymakers believe they're smarter than the rest of us, so instead rely on complex (and flawed) <u>econometric models</u> and questionable <u>policy tools</u> (monetary chicanery) to manage inflation.

For the first time in four decades, inflation is clearly on the march, and surged to <u>7%</u> in 2021, or 5% over the Fed's <u>target inflation rate</u>. Both Jerome Powell and Janet Yellen proclaimed for over a year that inflation was transitory. Oops. Of course, Fed policymakers said the same thing during the early to mid-70s. They seem to forget that every period of secular inflation is always preceded by a period that's initially deemed transitory.

Inflation is an implicit tax on savers. Extraordinary monetary stimulus (i.e., low interest rates, bloated money supply, targeted asset purchase, etc.) intended to offset government-imposed COVID lockdowns was a boon to stock and real estate investors. However, it was devastating to savers who remained in cash, bank CDs, and government bonds, where the rate of interest was nearly zero. Ultimately, inflation erased roughly 7% of their purchasing power this past year.

The federal funds rate is currently reported as .25%, but it's not actually a rate but, rather, a range. That range is currently 0–.25%, and the <u>FOMC</u> manages the rate via asset purchases/sales to be somewhere in the middle. The market is presently forecasting <u>four to five</u> quarter point (.25%) interest rate hikes this year, which would put the fed funds rate roughly 1–1¹/₄ points higher twelve months from now.

The federal funds rate is an interbank lending rate, or the rate which commercial banks charge one another for overnight lending. Thus, unlike <u>prime</u> or the now deceased <u>LIBOR</u>, it isn't a retail rate that directly affects consumers. So why do we care? Because the Fed's key interest rate both <u>signals</u> the market as to the Fed's monetary policy direction (looser/tighter) and influences commercial lending, retail, and mortgage rates.

To appreciate why the stock market has been getting anxious recently it's important to understand the relationship between interest rates and asset values. In a nutshell, falling interest rates make the <u>net</u> <u>present value</u> of a series of cash-flows (e.g., interest, dividends, rental income, sales proceeds, etc.) more valuable. Conversely, rising rates do the very opposite. To illustrate, let's look at how a 1% rate increase would affect the value of different assets and liabilities.

Loan/Asset/Bond	Rate	Payment/Value	Post 1% Increase	\$ Change	% Change
Mortgage Payment (\$1M)	<u>3.55%</u>	\$4,518	\$5,097	\$579	12.82%
Rental Property	<u>5.00%</u>	\$1,000,000	\$833,333	(\$166,667)	-16.67%
10-Yr Treasury Note	<u>1.92%</u>	\$1,000,000	\$931,900	(\$68,100)	-6.81%

*Rental property value is based on *cap rate*, whereas the Treasury note is based on a *duration* of 6.81

In the example above, a 1% hike in the 30-year mortgage rate would increase the payment on a \$1M loan by nearly 13%. Viewed another way, a borrower who qualified for a \$4,518 payment (<u>P&I</u>) would now only be able to borrow \$886,000 rather than \$1,000,000, or ~11% less. Likewise, a 1% increase in the <u>capitalization rate</u>—a metric real estate investors commonly use to value income property—might reduce the market value of a \$1M property by nearly 17%.

Bonds are inversely correlated with interest rates, meaning bond prices rise when interest rates fall and vice versa. Since 1981, when the 10-year Treasury note peaked at over 15%, bond investors have enjoyed a 40-year bull market. However, with the 10-year note currently yielding $\sim 2\%$ and inflation trending north of 7%, interest rates across the board need to move higher if inflation is to be constrained. As my father use to say, this makes a tough row to hoe for bond investors.

Like all <u>risk assets</u>, stock prices are similarly affected by changes in interest rates, as evidenced by the recent spike in market volatility. But unlike bonds, which have nowhere to go but down in a rising interest rate environment, stocks are inherently inflation-adjusted. When input costs (e.g., materials, labor, energy, etc.) increase, companies simply raise their prices.

The primary factors which drive stock prices are earnings and interest rates. While corporate earnings are projected to surpass all-time highs this year, rising interest rates do the opposite, compressing <u>PE</u> ratios, or the multiple of earnings an investor is willing to pay for a stock. For example, the <u>S&P 500</u> Index is currently ~4,500, with earnings forecast at <u>\$225</u>. This translates into a forward PE ratio of 20, or 20-times earnings. Over the past forty years the forward PE ratio of the S&P 500 is <u>18.9</u>, or ~5% less than the current multiple. However, interest rates were significantly higher in the past, particularly between 1982–2000. If market pundits are right, even post-Fed rate hikes, interest rates will still be far below the long-term average, and, thus supports a higher-than-normal PE ratio. The moral of story, in spite of higher inflation and rising interest rates, stocks fundamentals appear sound and stocks have the potential to reward investors who filter out the noise and focus on the fundamentals.

But it's not all rainbows and sunshine. The bad news is that while stocks can and oftentimes do increase during times of rising rates (albeit with less enthusiasm), bonds do not. The Fed clearly has its work cut out for it, attempting to curtail inflation while simultaneously engineering a soft landing. Regardless of the outcome, bond investors are feeling the winds of change and are likely in for a rough ride for the foreseeable future.

Mark Lazar, MBA Senior Vice President–Investments

Certified Financial PlannerTM

Wasatch Team Updates



Mark Mark & Savina in Chichen Ista, Mexico

Stan and family in Cabo over President's Day





Rees & daughter Rachel at Deer Valley







Nicola with 3 of her 4 kids!



Matt Matt and Sam skiing!



Jon Jon refereeing a Rugby game !



Mark Lazar, CFP[®], MBA, Vice President - Investments | 385-275-3609

Stan Goodell, CFP[®], Vice President - Investments | 385-275-3792

Rees Petersen CFA®, Vice President - Investments | 385-275-3607

John Bergerson, CFA[®], MBA, Investment Portfolio Associate | 385-275-3608

Matt Brunner, Financial Advisor | 385-275-3795

Jon Metcalfe, MBA, Senior Client Service Associate | 385-275-3793

Nicola Palomo, Registered Client Service Associate | 503-944-5382

2750 E Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 520, Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121 1389 Center Drive, Suite 200, Park City, UT 84098 Raymond James & Associates, Inc. member New York Stock Exchange/SIPC

Views expressed in this newsletter are the current opinion of the author, but not necessarily those of Raymond James & Associates. The author's opinions are subject to change without notice. Information contained in this report was received from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy is not guaranteed. Past performance is not indicative of future results. There is no assurance these trends will continue or that forecasts mentioned will occur. Investing always involves risk and you may incur a profit or loss. No investment strategy can guarantee success. The S&P 500 is an unmanaged index of 500 widely held stocks. The Dow Jones Industrial Average is an unmanaged index of 30 widely held securities. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Companies engaged in business related to a specific sector are subject to fierce competition and their products and services may be subject to rapid obsolescence. There are additional risks associated with investing in an individual sector, including limited diversification. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is the annual market value of all goods and services produced domestically by the US. The information in this article is general in nature, is not a complete statement of all information necessary for making an investment decision, and is not a recommendation or a solicitation to buy or sell any security. Investments and strategies mentioned may not be suitable for all investors. The MSCI EAFE (Europe, Australasia, and Far East) is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure developed market equity performance, excluding the United States & Canada. The MSCI Emerging Markets is designed to measure equity market performance in 25 emerging market indices. The index's three largest industries are materials, energy, and banks. The Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index is a broad-based flagship benchmark that measures the investment grade, US dollar-denominated, fixed-rate taxable bond market. International investing involves special risks, including currency fluctuations, differing financial accounting standards, and possible political and economic volatility. Links are being provided for information purposes only. Raymond James is not affiliated with and does not endorse, authorize or sponsor any of the listed websites or their respective sponsors. Raymond James is not responsible for the content of any website or the collection or use of information regarding any website's users and/or members. Raymond James is not affiliated with any of the organizations listed above. Neither Raymond James Financial Services no any Raymond James Financial Advisor renders advice on tax issues, these matters should be discussed with the appropriate professional. Sector investments are companies engaged in business related to a specific sector. They are subject to fierce competition and their products and services may be subject to rapid obsolescence. There are additional risks associated with investing in an individual sector, including limited diversification. Prior to making an investment decision, please consult with your financial advisor about your individual situation. The prominent underlying risk of using bitcoin as a medium of exchange is that it is not authorized or regulated by any central bank. Bitcoin issuers are not registered with the SEC, and the bitcoin marketplace is currently unregulated. Bitcoin and other crypto currencies are a very speculative investment and involves a high degree of risk. Investors must have the financial ability, sophistication/experience and willingness to bear the risks of an investment, and a potential total loss of their investment. Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards Inc. owns the certification marks CFP®, CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER™, CFP® (with plaque design) and CFP® (with flame design) in the U.S., which it awards to individuals who successfully complete CFP Board's initial and ongoing certification requirements.